

## TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

### **Call The Meeting to Order**

Chairman Stephen Johnson called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M. at the Town Hall Meeting Room. Present at the start of the meeting were, Robert Fowler, James Duffy, Vincent Fratalia & Eric Ryder.

### **(A) Approval of Minutes – April 25, 2022**

**MOTION** – Mr. Duffy made a motion to approve the 4/25/22 minutes. The motion was seconded by Mr. Fratalia & unanimously voted 5-0.

### **(B) Committee Reports/ Administrative Actions**

#### **(B1) Committee Reports**

Mr. Fowler stated with the long journey they took for the zoning bylaw, 6 years, it passed overwhelmingly to the satisfaction to him, Mr. Johnson & Ms. Lowder. He then complimented Ms. Lowder for her fantastic job putting a lot together at the last minute to ensure they had no questions unanswered; he appreciates that. Mr. Fowler then thanked all those who voted in favor of something he knows will be beneficial to the town.

#### **(B2) Town Planner's Reports**

Ms. Lowder stated the zoning bylaw rewrite did in fact pass at town meeting, 121-1. If anybody has any questions regarding the new zoning bylaw, they can contact her. While under review of the attorney general the new bylaw is in effect as of 5/4/22 the only caveat is that any applicants that wish to proceed with projects filed after annual town meeting will be operating under the new town bylaw but there is a change that something could get thrown out by the AG after the review. They should get it back by August, and the likelihood of that is low. For all intents and purposes, the bylaw is in effect, if anyone has any questions, they can let her know.

Ms. Lowder stated there are no new updates on the Main St. sidewalks at this time, however the Fiske St. sidewalk project is coming along. That will be in front of the ConCom this week, 5/11.

Ms. Lowder has requested the maturation report for the bonds they are still holding from the treasurer's office. She is going to be sending the April report so they will have a more accurate idea of how much is being held for each project at this point. She has already had a few project engineers reach out with updates as to some of the outstanding as built plans & she is working closely with them to get them closed out as soon as possible. Ms. Lowder stated due to town meeting last week she did not have a chance to compile all the new information, but she hopes to have it by the next meeting.

Ms. Lowder stated Treehouse Brewery has made their intention known to purchase the Tewksbury Country Club from the Ginsburgs. She encourages folks to visit their website, they have a custom URL that [treehouse.com/hello-tewksbury](http://treehouse.com/hello-tewksbury) & you can learn about their company and what their plans are for the future. Speaking personally, she is excited to have a great organization choose Tewksbury, this is a company with a really good reputation everywhere they go, and they do a lot of nice work.

Mr. Johnson let the audience know agenda item D, 160&170 River Rd has asked to be continued to the next meeting

### **(C) 60 Highland View Road – Highland View Realty Trust – Site Plan Special Permit, Special Permit & Land Disturbance Permit (continued from 4/25)**

Matt Hamor appeared for the Site Plan Special Permit, Special Permit & Land Disturbance for 60 Highland View Road. Mr. Hamor stated he would be presenting the foot candles contouring plan, he has provided the lumens foot candle contouring map & he also provided cut sheets for that. As they can see on the plan there will be no emittance of any lighting from the facility itself. Additionally, they have been going back & forth with some plan revisions with the engineering department, the majority of which have been satisfied. There is an issue with a drainage structure that was installed on property, essentially, it's the repurposing of 2 bridge abutments which are going to be utilized to help detain the water within the system itself, but all the treatment of the water is being facilitated with these specialized catch basin

## TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

systems & it's also being infiltrated on the site through additional subsurface infiltration systems. Since the last meeting one of the requirements was that they go out & perform some additional testing, which they did do. Essentially on the entire site they have fill material & they have sand material beneath the fill material, one of the requirements of the storm water management regulations is that you do an actual test pit where the system is going to be located, they did 4 test pits on either end & either side, the engineer says that technically doesn't meet the stormwater requirements but they're confident on the underlying material but they're not going to be taking credit for infiltration within the system, the system just handles water to come through the system then exits out of the system. One of the additional requirements was a certification which they provided, the engineer just wants an additional certification from the bridge manufacturing company, the company that constructs these bridge abutments to show they can be utilized for drainage purposes. They are top of the line concrete bridge abutments so they're confident they are going to be able to get that from the manufacturer, it's a very high-grade concrete consistency with these structures so they are confident they are going to be able to get additional sign off on that, but they are also running into a time constraint with the folks who want to come in and build the building. They are currently preparing construction drawings & what they are hoping to get tonight is a conditional approval because even after they get an approval from the planning board they still go through construction drawings with the town engineer & nothing can be built without the town engineer's approval prior to construction & where they know what their underlying soils are, they know it can support the drainage system that are provided they have additional area within the site to provide additional drainage systems if needed, they're hoping to get conditional approval so the appeal periods can start to take their course while the folks that are designing the building for the facility can continue what they're doing knowing they have a conditional approval & that everything will coincide with the construction or approval from the building department once they get final approval from the engineering department. Mr. Hamor then stated they have some waivers that have been requested, they are outlined in a letter in response to Ms. Lowder's review of the application for special permit. One is the scale of the drawing, which they are requesting a waiver for. They know they need to add the building coverage to the plan, the maximum building coverage in this district is 35% and this building is 30% so it is compliant, it just needs a note added to the plan. There is no showing signage for the building, the company has not completed what would be their signage for the building itself. They would come in separately once the signage get completed & the sign company has provided all the specifications. They are going to landscape the property but they're asking for a waiver to the landscaping plan that would be necessary, they can come back in with a landscaping plan once a landscaper has been chosen for the project. Most of the sides are just rip wrap sides & there's 2 small areas which can be landscaped, the center island is composed of a transformer, so they won't be able to landscape the middle island, but the 2 sides would be able to be landscaped. One of the recommendations which was made was the solid fencing along the side of the abutment, that fencing has been added to the plan & it's just going to be along the westerly side the entire length to these residential properties. One other waiver, there's a question on the close proximity of the 2 curb cuts that are accessing the property. Those 2 curb cuts made a nice flow for the fire truck to enter the property. The site path analysis has been shown to the fire department through 2 separate IDR hearings. One of the town engineer's comments is that the fire truck should be able to get to the rear of the building, that was one of the first questions he asked LT. Sawicki at one of the first IDR meetings & he has provided comments but never has indicated that he needed to get to the rear of the building, this building is also going to be sprinkler. Mr. Hamor stated they provided the additional fee to the planning department for the Land Disturbance permit & there's going to be operation & maintenance to the facility which is going to be included in their storm water report. The Zordi group was there about a month ago and talked about the operation at that meeting & if there's anything additional to that they can certainly provide that as a condition as well.

Mr. Fowler stated he likes the proposal very much; he likes the idea of what they want to do down there so whatever he says next is by no means in reference to the final product on site. His concern is how they got here. Mr. Fowler asked if the first time Mr. Hamor presented the plan if he was aware that the system was partially installed on site & that it was installed and not inspected. Mr. Hamor stated that was his understanding & he did know it was installed. Mr. Fowler then asked at that time, when he first submitted this did tell them that, Mr. Hamor stated he did it has always been on the plans,

## TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

he in no way was avoiding discussing it. Mr. Hamor stated during his initial presentation when describing the existing conditions on site he would have indicated that that system was installed. Mr. Fowler stated he's going to have to review the minutes because it's news to him. Mr. Fowler then asked if Mr. Hamor expected them today to vote on this without an approval from the town engineer & the fire department. Mr. Hamor stated that the town engineer has essentially said in his comments that the system will have to be pulled out and put back in so he can see the system go in. Mr. Fowler stated that wasn't his question. Mr. Hamor stated the design isn't going to change. Mr. Fowler then asked again if Mr. Hamor wanted them to vote on it tonight. Mr. Hamor stated he is requesting they conditionally approve the project based on ultimately getting the town engineer's approval, he's not asking them to approve something the town engineer wouldn't approve. Mr. Fowler asked about the fire department, Mr. Hamor stated the fire department has already provided comments for this project, has no provided comments denying the project, they have comments from the fire department, some which contradict the curb cut regulations the town has in place for projects coming into public roadways which they made the modifications, responded to those comments & there's nothing in Lt. Sawicki's comments that he originally provided that haven't been provided on this plan & the one issue the town engineer had brought up was if the fire department needed to get to the rear of the building & that comment was never provided by the fire department & they provided the sweat path analysis back in March to the town. Mr. Fowler asked why Mr. Hamor thought it took them so long to get to this point. Mr. Hamor stated that this typically takes this long to go through engineering comments & there has been a little bit of a delay due to the lack of a quorum at one point. They made the submission in March & it's May, so it's been 2.5 months that have gone by. The site hasn't changed that much, the head change was the addition of some drainage controls which were requested by the engineering department which they did, they aren't in disagreement or doing something against what the town engineer is asking for, they're just going through the process like they normally would. Mr. Fowler stated that he finds it very disingenuous that he would have a plan submitted to the board that, A) isn't finished, hasn't been approved by different aspects of the town but he wants them to conditionally approve it which kind of gives it a shadow of a maybe they're going to vote for this maybe it is alright & that's not the case at all. Mr. Hamor said if that's the way Mr. Fowler feels then they can continue. Mr. Fowler stated he's probably seen him here for a long time & asked if he's ever heard him speak this way. Mr. Hamor said he guessed not. Mr. Fowler stated he would like him to think about that.

Mr. Ryder stated he'd echo Mr. Fowler's points. He's pretty thorough when he reviews a plan, he doesn't recall a plan that had a previous drainage on it, he would have brought that up. Conditionally approving anything has always been something he's been against; he doesn't like to conditionally approve anything until every department is satisfied. Mr. Ryder referenced the curb cut on the site currently, he doesn't know if it's necessarily a curb cut, he thinks it's where they piled the junk vs. where they didn't and access into the property. Mr. Hamor stated there's a transformer there. Mr. Ryder stated there may be a transformer there but there's a pile of everything else on top & around the site. In his opinion, from the activity that will be coming in and out of there, there's left & right of the site would have been the best location for that. As far as lighting he thinks that's pretty straightforward, the question on the scale of the prints, why is this continuing, they know what's going on, the plans are specific what the town is requiring, he thinks everyone should know if they're not clear let's put them some place that they are clear & he's pretty sure everyone is clear of what. Ms. Lowder stated it's in the bylaw. Mr. Ryder stated he wasn't disagreeing he's just saying in the past people have submitted plans that aren't specific or they're not meeting the town bylaws and they should be. Ms. Lowder clarified 40A states they have to accept whatever gets filed & they have to review it. Mr. Ryder stated he understood but the bylaw asks for, so he thinks they need to address that, so they aren't having to go through this and the Board grant that waiver.

Mr. Fratelia echoed Mr. Fowler's concerns, he stated Mr. Hamor has been in front of them numerous times he knows they are thorough as far as reviewing plans so that when they finish, they think it's the best plan for the residents of Tewksbury. When his plan first came in, he was very high on the plan bringing a new concept to Tewksbury but he's kind of sour on it now because of the fact this initial plan came in on January 10, does he have any idea when that system was installed. Mr. Hamor stated it was installed last summer. Mr. Fratelia stated he's willing to bet that the system was never

## TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

mentioned to the Board once, if it was brought to them back then they would have had it resolved by now. He doesn't know how Mr. Hamor thinks he would approve those plans or tentative plans without the stormwater system they have underground. Mr. Hamor stated he understood and wanted to make it very clear that he actually had submitted this drainage system to the town engineer last year telling him this was something they were going to be proposing, he believes it went through the planning office but in no way are they trying to skirt something by the town with this drainage system in any way. The owner took it upon himself in order to save space to put the drainage system in to get it out of the way because the bridge abutment have been sitting on his property for quite some time. Mr. Fratalia stated if that was the case, he doesn't know why Mr. Hamor wouldn't have brought it to their attention Mr. Hamor stated the intention was, once they realized the system was in was to propose it to remain in the ground, that's why they went out and did additional test pits per the town engineer's recommendations, if they want to see the system they can go to the site and see it. Mr. Fratalia stated his issue was the fact that it was installed, it was not inspected, Mr. Hamo interrupted that he did not install the system, he's inheriting an installed system and working around that. Mr. Fratalia stated Mr. Hamor was presenting the information on site to them with a good faith & they kind of believe that then all of a sudden, they get hit with the fact that by the way there's a system down there now that was installed back in the summer before the plan was submitted, it just doesn't sit well with him, he wouldn't consider supporting it without the town engineer giving his blessing to move forward. Mr. Hamor stated the system is going to remain designed the way it is, its just going to be taken out & put back into the ground, that's essentially what the town engineer said. It's a good sustainable use of a concrete product, instead of it being wasted, ground up and disposed of it's a good sustainable repurposing of a concrete product that essentially going to hold a void for water, it's a good use of something that would just be destroyed but at the end of the day.

Mr. Duffy asked if they are talking about removing some of the concrete structures because they went in with testing the recharge area. Mr. Hamor answered they aren't claiming credit for recharge with the structure, its incorporated into their design to have water go in be detained and then come out so they aren't taking credit for the underlying material underneath the structure for infiltration. Mr. Duffy asked if it was a requirement to do some testing in those areas. Mr. Hamor stated it is if you're going to use it for recharge, meaning you're going to take credit for the water to infiltrate down through the system, you're required to do soil testing for that. Mr. Duffy stated the new engineering design does not require that. Mr. Hamor answered that's correct. Mr. Duffy stated initially he didn't have a major problem with a couple conditions here but from what he's seeing there's quite a few, how many of these conditions in the engineer's report are they talking, all 11 comments, 4. Mr. Hamor stated he was proposing to do all of them, only in that they all need to be satisfied & they're all subsurface related one was a detail related to a straw waddle which they inadvertently forgot to put on the plan for erosion control of which is also a requirement for conservation, other than that, that's what they are requesting. Mr. Duffy stated there is another requirement when the building is finished & he wants to mention he's with the rest of the board, he thinks it is an awesome idea & he thinks Tewksbury would be lucky to have such an operation here they're just trying to get the thing done right. He then asked where the inspection ports were located on the site. Mr. Hamor stated that on each end of the site there's going to be and inspection port so you can see down into the system. Mr. Duffy asked specific locations, Mr. Hamor pointed the current one out on the plans and then pointed to where they would be putting another one. Mr. Duffy clarified the parking areas on the sides of the building & then asked if the vacuum trucks would have trouble getting back to the ports as a fire truck would. Mr. Hamor stated no. Mr. Duffy then asked if they're going to be able to access those ports, Mr. Hamor answered yes, they will. Mr. Duffy stated most of what he saw on the Fire Dept. report is pretty much just building code, he would like to see Mr. Hamor back here in a couple of weeks with some of these items checked off. He personally wouldn't have a problem with one or two conditions but there's just too many right now. Mr. Hamor stated it sounds as though they are being continued this evening, if they do that he asked to be put at the end of the agenda, he has a ZBA meeting in Lowell that evening.

Mr. Johnson stated the only heads up he will give him, as of right now their next meeting is blank so they will have to try to figure that out, they have one other continuation tonight so they can put him behind that one, but he doesn't know how

## TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

much that's going to give. He then stated as to the point of the conditional approvals, they've made it fairly clear over a long point of time that they are trying not to do those anymore, he does not mind doing it when they are stuck with something but they've been trying to consistently not approve things with conditions because they were asked to stop, and they prefer not to because once things are done & something comes up they can't stop, they can't reopen it they can't deal with it so they want to be done with everything before they do that. That being said, obviously this system is a bit of a strange situation because as he read's Mr. Hardiman's #3 the only way to deal with this is to pull it up, check it out, put it back, he doesn't know what the alternative is other than that so that seems to be where they've landed, which is they're going to have to pull it up so they can inspect it going back in the ground. Mr. Hamor stated that is what he is saying yes. Mr. Johnson stated if that's where they end up, if that one of the last things he can understand if he and Mr. Hardiman are on the same page because the alternative would be holding this whole thing off indefinitely until it gets pulled up out of the ground checked out and put back. Mr. Hamor stated he can just design a separate drainage system without it, he has sand soils on the site. Mr. Ryder stated that was going to be his question, the labor cost to pull up the system, potential damages to the system you don't know but redesign, as he stated the soils on the site in the other location where he did the test pits vs. testing the soils underneath this structure, wouldn't it be cleaner just to install an approved system new to the site. Mr. Hamor stated he's going to essentially add 20 chambers to the system that additional just to cover the drainage & then deal with the system however the owner wants to deal with it whether it gets removed & crushed. Mr. Ryder clarified he thinks it's the cleanest way to do it, its new site new structure it meets new common current guidelines, that structure is in question, so it makes it a lot easier for this board to bless something that has been proposed and approved by Mr. Hardiman, he mentioned that they did soil testing in the other locations so obviously they have the sandy soils that can handle the additional chambers. Mr. Hamor stated it can handle the system that's on there, it's just he has seen every system that has gone in the ground so but in this particular case they aren't trying to gain infiltration credit for this system they're just using the volume as part of the drainage calculation. Mr. Ryder stated that Mr. Hardiman is signing off on the state permit that he or his staff has visually inspected everything from said point. It goes back to why was this installed with out proper permits understanding that anything that was going to go on this site was going to have to come in front of the board & meet the new stormwater guidelines. Mr. Hamor stated the guidelines haven't changed from when it went in. Mr. Ryder stated they didn't do the investigation to do to the board or to go to the DPW and approve them through the town engineer or this would be a moot point. Mr. Johnson stated he should get more of his ducks in a row before the next meeting to get everybody on the board more comfortable. Mr. Hamor stated they'll go to the June meeting because when they submit plans they don't get them until the Friday before the meeting so he'd like to request they do the plans this week when the engineer has the time to review the plans but he'd like them to review the plans as if the meeting was on the 23rd. Mr. Johnson stated they would make the meeting for the 23<sup>rd</sup> just in case, if he can't make it they will continue it.

There were no questions from the audience.

Mr. Fowler stated that he has a meeting with the town engineer, who was he meeting with. Mr. Hamor stated when the initial concept was proposed he can't recall if it was an in-person meeting it might have been virtual at the time in 2021. They sent the town engineer a plan, they were making sure the concept was potentially an avenue for approval, so they sent a plan showing the unit itself designed on the property, they wanted to make sure that there wasn't any land disturbance permits necessary, that was concurred through email for that particular aspect of the structure itself and then the property owner did put the structure in on his own accord last summer. Mr. Fowler asked at that time the town through the engineer's office understood that it was either in the ground or was going in the ground. Mr. Hamor stated he is not going to say the town was viewing the structure, they were just looking at a set of plans for the concept. It is his understand that the town engineer was not notified that the structure was going in the ground. Mr. Fowler questioned who met with them at this time, did he meet with them, did his staff? Mr. Hamor stated he could not recall. Mr. Fowler then asked for his file when he's going, he's presenting his case did he receive a letter or minutes of this meeting or any answer in letter form about this. Mr. Hamor stated he would have to go back & look at whatever it was that was put in writing

TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

albeit from the town engineer or CC with the town planner, just having the discussion about the proposed concept. Mr. Fowler stated he would like to see that & he's sure Mr. Hamor would like to see it too.

Mr. Duffy asked what they were talking for a unit, for a tank size. Mr. Hamor referenced the plan showing the bridge abutments in the shape of a T. Mr. Duffy asked for a rough size. Mr. Hamor stated 50' each, there's 4 of them. Mr. Duffy asked the height, Mr. Hamor answered 3'. Mr. Duffy stated it just seems like there's a lot of dancing around. They were put in without permit, somebody's trying to say I spent money on this I would like to be able to use it, that would be wonderful if there was a way for him and the engineer to work something out there but short of that it still doesn't seem like a big deal to him to take it out, put it back, do the test and put it back in, he curious now about what is going on with this. Mr. Hamor stated he was not going to speak on behalf of the owner, he has to respect what he has in front of him and do what they need to do in terms of satisfying the town in terms of what us being proposed.

**MOTION** – Mr. Fowler made a motion to continue the Site Plan Special Permit, Special Permit & Land Disturbance Permit for 60 Highland View Road to 7:05 PM on 5/23. The motion was seconded by Mr. Duffy and unanimously voted 5-0.

**(D) 160 & 170 River Road – Trull Brook Golf Course, Inc. – Site Plan Special Permit & Land Disturbance Permit (continued from 4/25)**

Applicant requested continuance to 5/23

**MOTION** – Mr. Fowler made a motion to continue the Site Plan Special Permit & Land Disturbance Permit for 160 & 170 River Road to May 23, 2022, at 7:00 P.M. The motion was seconded by Mr. Fratalia and unanimously voted 5-0.

**(E) 85 French Street – CIL Realty of Massachusetts – Land Disturbance Permit (continued from 4/25)**

Andy Bond appeared on behalf of CIL Realty Massachusetts for the Land Disturbance Permit for 85 French St. Mr. Bond referenced a plan showing the location of French St. He then stated it is a single family 5-bedroom home for people with disabilities, some additional parking shown to the back. Mr. Bond stated that there were a series of comments from the DPW regarding the drainage system they touched upon at the last hearing. Since that time, they have performed soil testing, added some additional storage in the drainage basin located northeast of the parking area, added some additional erosion control measures particularly along the plan to the south where the slope was being pulled back to improve the site distance. There was some other minor commentary on planned notes etc. that they revised. They received a letter from the DPW Friday confirming they met all the stormwater requirements they have a couple minor things related to the driveway, which they will go over with them. He tried to make some plan revisions based on some of their verbal commentary including sliding the driveway approximately 3' to what would be planned east to help on some of the cutback, if you went out there its essentially in the same location as the existing driveway.

Mr. Johnson stated this is a limited vote for them, what they can and can't do its kind of along the lines of ANR Plans that they either meet what they need, or they don't. Just to clarify with Mr. Bond, he's aware that the engineering department has pointed out some things they will not be able to go forward with without dealing with, separate from whether they grant the Land Disturbance permit. Mr. Bond stated correct, he let the property owners and contractors working on it know that they've got some concerns when they go to pull the land disturbance permit. Just for the Board, the revised plans, there was some commentary particularly from the residents that will be planned north, they added some arborvitae shading to go and kind of plant the understory on that to provide some screening & a fence was added around the drainage basin as well for the protection of the residents that are going to be using that property.

Mr. Fratalia stated he's glad it's come to an agreement between the parties & he's all in favor of the project.

Mr. Duffy stated he agrees with his colleagues, it looks like they are pitching the driveway, so the majority of the runoff is going to the grass swale.

TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

Mr. Fowler thanked him of the presentation and said he has good feelings about this.

Mr. Johnson read a note, just to remind everybody this one's a 3/5 not a super.

There were no questions from the audience.

**MOTION** – Mr. Fratalia made a motion to close the public hearing. The motion was seconded by Mr. Ryder and unanimously voted 5-0.

**MOTION** – Mr. Ryder made a motion to approve the Land Disturbance Permit for 85 French Street. The motion was seconded by Mr. Fowler and unanimously voted 5-0.

**Old Business**

There was no old business.

**New Business**

Mr. Ryder stated the old school administration building, as people have seen, remediation is underway and that will start to come down.

Mr. Duffy stated he wanted to let the board know he had contact with nemcog and he'll be attending his first meeting on the 18<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Fratalia stated on 5/14 letter carriers are doing their annual food drive if people could place non-perishable food items by their mailbox the letter carrier will pick them up and drop them to the food pantry where the staff will process the food and have it on their shelves for the needy people of Tewksbury. Mr. Johnson asks if there was anything in particular, they are seeking. Ms. Lowder stated they look for individually wrapped things because a lot of the people who go to the pantry are seniors or single people who can't do anything with a giant box. Mr. Fratalia stated they would take anything but what they don't want is expired food.

**Correspondence**

**Adjournment**

**MOTION** – Mr. Duffy made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:59 P.M. The motion was seconded by Mr. Ryder and unanimously voted 5-0.

**Approved on: June 13, 2022**

*List of Documents for the 5.9.22 Meeting*

*Documents can be found in the Community Development Office*

**A. 7:00** Approval of Minutes: April 25, 2022

- **April 25, 2022**

**B. 7:00** Committee Reports/Administrative Actions

- 1- Committee Reports
  - 2- Town Planner's Report
- **Memo from Alexandra Lowder dated 5/6/22**

TEWKSBURY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

May 9, 2022

**C. 7:00** 60 Highland View Road – Highland View Realty Trust: Site Plan Special Permit, Special Permit, and Land Disturbance Permit (*continued from 4/25*)

- Letter from LandPlex dated 4/15/2022
- Revised site plans dated 4/15/2022
- Lighting Plan dated 4/27/22
- Lighting specifications (7 pages; undated)
- Letter from Kevin Hardiman dated 5/6/2022

**D. 7:05** 160 & 170 River Road – Trull Brook Golf Course, Inc.: Site Plan Special Permit & Land Disturbance Permit (*continued from 4/25*)

- No new materials submitted

**E. 7:10** 85 French Street – CIL Realty of Massachusetts: Land Disturbance Permit (*continued from 4/25*)

- Cover letter from Sherman & Frydryk dated 5/4/2022
- Revised site plans dated 5/4/2022
- Letter from Kevin Hardiman dated 5/6/2022

**Old Business**

**New Business**

**Correspondence**

**Adjournment**