



**TOWN OF TEWKSBURY
CONSERVATION COMMISSION
1009 Main Street
Tewksbury, MA 01876**

Daniel Ronan, Chairman
Evan Walsh, Vice-Chairman
Patrick Holland
Dennis Sheehan
Steve Gove

**Meeting Minutes
March 23, 2022**

The meeting was called to order at by Daniel Ronan, Chairman, at the Tewksbury Town Hall at 7:00 p.m. In attendance was Evan Walsh, Vice-Chairman, Patrick Holland, and Dennis Sheehan.

Mr. Gove was not in attendance.

Approval of Meeting Minutes – October 20, 2021, November 2, 2021 and January 19, 2022

There were no meeting minutes presented for approval.

A) Request for Determination of Applicability, Michael Quigley, 19 & 27 Ferncroft Road, Assessor Map-Lot 31-48 & 31-47

Present was Michael Quigley, applicant, of 27 Ferncroft Road.

Mr. Quigley explained that he and his neighbor are looking to have some trees removed and noted that he is also present on behalf of his neighbor.

Mr. Fontaine explained that he stopped by 27 Ferncroft Road as there was unpermitted tree cutting occurring. Upon investigation, Mr. Fontaine determined that approximately 5 trees had been cut without a permit. Mr. Fontaine noted that the crane involved in the tree removal process was operating from the driveway and was cutting the trees but leaving the stumps in place. Mr. Fontaine explained that there were no actual alterations to the wetlands, it is more of a formality to come before the Commission to obtain the permit. The applicant is proposing to cut approximately 8 to 10 more trees. Mr. Fontaine noted that the trees lie either within the wetland itself or a buffer zone and explained that if the Commission were to issue a Negative Determination, he recommends the following conditions: the heavy machinery shall continue to operate within the driveway and roadway areas, the stumps shall be left to place, limited to approximately 10 trees total, and coordinating with the conservation agent once the work occurs again.

Mr. Ronan asked if it is an additional 8 trees and Mr. Quigley confirmed this and noted that they are White Pines.

Mr. Ronan opened the hearing to the public and no one came forward to comment.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to close the public hearing; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion for a Negative Determination, Michael Quigley, 19 & 27 Ferncroft Road, Assessor Map-Lot 31-48 & 31-47, 9 trees; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

B) Request for Determination of Applicability, W Freitag Construction on behalf of Mark & Katy Airoidi, 96 Sheridan Lane, Assessor Map 38, Lot 36

Present was Wayne Freitag, Contractor, and Katy Airoidi, applicant.

Mr. Freitag explained that the applicants would like to construct a 28 x 30 addition where the existing driveway is now.

Mr. Fontaine noted that he conducted a site visit and determined that there are wetlands approximately 70 feet away. The applicant is proposing erosion and sediment controls along the rear. Mr. Fontaine explained if the Commission approves this matter, he recommends installing erosion and sediment controls, to be inspected by the Conservation Agent prior to construction beginning and to be maintained throughout construction.

Mr. Ronan opened the hearing to the public and no one came forward to comment.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to close the public hearing; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion for a Negative Determination, W Freitag Construction on behalf of Mark & Katy Airoidi, 96 Sheridan Lane, Assessor Map 38, Lot 36; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

C) Request for Determination of Applicability, Patrick Richard, 18 Doherty Way, Assessor Map 9, Lot 82

Mr. Fontaine noted that the applicants will not be in attendance and suggested continuing this matter. Mr. Fontaine explained that he conducted a site visit, and that the applicant would like to install an above ground pool. The yard abuts a wetland to the rear and side. Mr. Fontaine noted that the applicant would be requesting a waiver from the no build and/or no disturb zone for the pool. The yard is all maintained lawn, and no native vegetation would be disturbed.

Mr. Holland noted that it appears to be within the 10% allowed.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to continue Request for Determination of Applicability, Patrick Richard, 18 Doherty Way, Assessor Map 9, Lot 82 to April 6, 2022 at 6:30 p.m.; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

D) Request for Determination of Applicability, Julie Taggart, 7 Heath Street, Assessors Map 68, Lot 25

Present was Katie McSheehy on behalf of the contractor and applicant.

Ms. McSheehy explained that the homeowner would like to replace their deck within the same footprint, however, they are within 100 feet of a river.

Mr. Fontaine noted that he conducted a site visit and that they are approximately 40 feet from the Shawsheen River riverbank. Mr. Fontaine explained that it is not really an alteration as they are only disturbing an area that is currently impervious area. Mr. Fontaine recommended installing straw waddle and/or silt fence around the deck to be inspected by him prior to construction.

Mr. Ronan opened the hearing to the public and no one came forward to comment.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to close the public hearing; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion for a Negative Determination, Julie Taggart, 7 Heath Street, Assessor Map 68, Lot 25; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

E) Notice of Intent, Mark Hamor on behalf of Highland View Realty Trust, 60 Highland View Road, Assessor Map 23, Lot2 23-52, DEP File #305-1155

It was noted that the applicant has requested to continue this matter to April 20, 2022.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to continue Notice of Intent, Mark Hamor on behalf of Highland View Realty Trust, 60 Highland View Road, Assessor Map 23, Lot2 23-52, DEP File #305-1155 to April 20, 2022 at 6:30 p.m.; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

F) Notice of Intent, Civil Design Consultants, Inc., Vale Street, Assessor Map 112, Lots 23, 87-94, DEP #305-1154

Present was Jim Hanley of Civil Design Consultants and Dick Cuoco of Woodland Design.

Mr. Hanley explained that this is a four-lot subdivision located off Vale Street consisting of 18 acres with 650 feet of frontage. Mr. Hanley reviewed the plan noted that the locations was originally approved for a 9-lot single family home subdivision with approximately 600 feet of roadway in 1987; however, this is no longer viable under today's standards. Mr. Hanley noted that this property is also currently in land court and explained that this is just basically a different recording process. Mr. Hanley noted that they were before the commission last year for the Order of the Resource Area Delineation and have reevaluated the area to see what could actually be done from a zoning compliance perspective as well as complying with the conservation regulations and the Wetland Protection Act.

Mr. Hanley presented one of the alternatives they had considered and explained that the proposal was for a 6-lot Open Space Residential Subdivision (OSRD). Mr. Hanley explained that in order to build the roadway they would have needed to make direct wetland impacts of approximately 2,200 feet of wetland area, and also would have had a stream crossing, which would need to be designed to meet the DEP stream crossing standards. Mr. Hanley noted that they are also adjacent to a depression that has vernal pool characteristics and explained that they were unable to confirm whether or not it is in fact a vernal pool due to the time of year.

Mr. Hanley explained that their first alternative is for four-lot subdivision with just frontage on Vale Street with the intention of building on only three of the four lots. Mr. Hanley noted that there would be no roadway construction and explained that the proposal would be for three single family house lots. Mr. Hanley reviewed the three lots and noted that the first lot on the far left is approximately 45,000 square feet in area with minor impacts in the 100 feet, the middle lot is approximately 50,000 square feet with minor impacts within the 100-foot buffer and 386 square feet of impacts within the riverfront area, and lot three is the closest to the perennial stream perennial stream and is approximately 84,000 square feet in area with impacts within the 50- and 100-foot buffer, as well as approximately 7,000 square feet of impacts within the riverfront area, which is approximately 8.4% of the lot and complies with the 10% maximum.

Mr. Hanley noted that they were before the Planning Board with an A&R plan and were approved, and they are in the process of working through land court. Mr. Hanley noted that they also worked with DEP and the DPW and there are no outstanding comments that they are aware of.

Mr. Fontaine noted that one of the key facts about the project is that there is really only one lot that is altering riverfront area with between 100 and 200 feet of alterations. Mr. Fontaine explained that whenever a riverfront area is altered, you would like to not alter the 100-foot riparian zone of the riverfront area. Mr. Fontaine noted that the project does not need to comply with the Massachusetts stormwater standards based off of an exemption in the standards, and that there is no work proposed within a 200-foot buffer zone of the vernal pool. Mr. Fontaine explained that whenever you work within riverfront area, you must provide an adequate alternatives analysis to the project and noted that the applicant has presented two alternative options with one having more impacts to the wetland resources on site. Mr. Fontaine noted that, all things considered, it is relatively

low impact compared to the alternatives, and that the final regulatory issue is the Land Court signoff.

Mr. Hanley explained that they cannot build or move forward with the project until Land Court signs off on the matter and that he has been told that it could take as long as six months. Mr. Hanley suggested continuing this matter to a date to be determined.

Mr. Holland requested the abutters be re-notified when land court has settled the matter.

Mr. Fontaine explained that he had asked if dewatering was part of the construction project and the response was essentially no, based on the test data already done on the site. Mr. Fontaine noted that because it is a subdivision with a decent amount of disturbance, he suggested the erosion and sediment controls consist of two sets of silt fencing and straw wattles and to ensure the 100 feet of riverfront area on lot 3 is not disturbed by surveying out the erosion and sediment controls or surveying out the limit of work. Mr. Fontaine noted that, just so the Commission is aware from a regulatory perspective in the WPA, whenever an applicant alters greater than 5000 square feet of riverfront area, the issuing authority may require a wildlife habitat evaluation study under 310 CMR 10.60.

Mr. Walsh asked if the stormtech drains will require maintenance as one is located under a driveway. Mr. Hanley explained that there will be an access port and explained that this is why they are just putting roof runoff water, which is generally clean. Mr. Walsh asked if the driveway would drain towards the street and Mr. Hanley explained that it drains down to the right of way line which has a low point, and then sheet flows to either side. Mr. Hanley noted that one of the comments from DEP was that they did not want to see water runoff the driveway into the roadway.

Mr. Ronan opened the hearing to the public.

Mark Poleski of 136 Vale Street and Michael Taylor of 118 Vale Street, and Michael and Rose Rizzo of 152 Vale Street came forward.

Mr. Poleski asked what the status of the remainder of the land is and if it will be developed in the future. Mr. Ronan explained that the wetland boundaries have been set and they cannot build or disturb within the buffer zones. Mr. Poleski noted that he would appreciate being notified when this comes back before the Commission. Mr. Cuoco explained that they are only purchasing three of the lots and the current owner will be retaining the remainder of the land and they do not know what his plans are for that land.

Mr. Fontaine asked if any of the abutters have any questions relative to the three lots that are being discussed this evening.

Mr. Taylor asked if the paper street will be eliminated as part of this and Mr. Fontaine confirmed this. Mr. Taylor asked if these lots also include the culvert underneath. Mr. Hanley confirmed this and explained that the culvert is under Lot 2. Mr. Taylor asked who will be responsible for maintaining the culvert and Mr. Hanley explained that the property owner would want to maintain it as it is a piece of infrastructure on their

property. Mr. Taylor noted that the lot line on the lot on the right looks like it moves away from the street. Mr. Cuoco explained that Vale Street was relocated when Route 93 was constructed, and the town kept title to the original street line land. Mr. Taylor explained that one of the reasons the abutters are so concerned as at one point there was plans to rezone to a business district and construct a medical building.

Mr. Ronan explained that the zoning issues would not fall under the Conservation Commission.

Mr. Poleski asked if the timeframe for this next meeting is known. Mr. Ronan noted that the applicant has been told it could take six months. Mr. Hanley explained that they would likely request to be put on the agenda 90 days out and discuss again at that time.

Mr. Holland suggested continuing to a date to be determined and three weeks before that date is determined the abutters be re-notified.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to continue Notice of Intent, Civil Design Consultants, Inc., Vale Street, Assessor Map 112, Lots 23, 87-94, DEP #305-1154 to date to be determined, abutters shall be notified via certified mailing three weeks prior to when this matter is to be heard again; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion carried 4-0.

G) Notice of Resource Area Delineation, Norse Environmental Service, Inc. on behalf of O'Brien Homes, Inc., Foster Lane, Assessors Map24, Lot 26, DEP #305-1156

It was noted that this matter will be continued to April 6, 2022.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to continue Notice of Resource Area Delineation, Norse Environmental Service, Inc. on behalf of O'Brien Homes, Inc., Foster Lane, Assessors Map24, Lot 26, DEP #305-1156 to April 6, 2022 at 6:30 p.m.; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

H) Notice of Intent, Civil Design Consultants on behalf of Arnie Martel, 70 Frasier Lane, Assessor Map 25, Lot 86, DEP #pending

Present was Jim Hanley of Civil Design Consultants and Dick Cuoco of Woodland Design.

Mr. Hanley noted that they have received a file number: 305-1158 and have not received any comments from DEP, but they did issue a file number.

Mr. Hanley noted that this is Lot 20 (70 Frasier Lane) and consists of 3.4 acres with approximately 200 feet of frontage on Frasier Lane. The resource areas on site are the 100-foot wetland buffer, the local 50- and 25-foot wetland buffer, as well as a certified vernal pool. Mr. Hanley noted that their closest impact to this is approximately 80 feet

away and is only for the access driveway to come through and provide access to the house. Mr. Hanley explained that the proposed activities on site are limited to the construction of a single-family house, approximately 300-350 feet of a 14-foot-wide driveway, grading around the house and with the driveway, and utility services. Mr. Hanley noted that portions of the driveway are within the 25 foot no build zone which has to do with the fact that there are wetlands located on both sides of the access drive. MR. Hanley explained that they have positioned the driveway in a spot that has the least impact on the resource areas. Mr. Hanley noted that it is actually within a sore easement, however, the sore was never actually placed, but the easement was cut at one point and there was some minor grading done so that the replication area could be put there. Mr. Hanley noted that this was part of the agreement for the original subdivision that was approved back in 2001. Mr. Hanley noted that Mr. Fontaine has provided a few comments which they are working towards addressing. Mr. Hanley explained that one of the comments was to reflag the wetland boundary as they had used the old record line approved in 2001 and 2008. Mr. Hanley noted that they will reflag the area and report back to the Commission. Mr. Hanley explained that another comment Mr. Fontaine had was with regards to condition number 23 of the original order of conditions from 2001, which Mr. Cuoco will explain.

Mr. Cuoco explained that he spoke with Attorney Rick O'Neill, who was the Attorney of record when it was permitted, there was a condition that said that lot 20 would not be a buildable lot and would be deeded over to the town. Since that time there have been some changes at this location. Mr. Cuoco explained that for the first 10 years it was being taxed as a buildable lot and the theory behind why the Commission wanted to see this parcel deeded to the town is they did not think the replication areas would be successful. However, twenty years later the replication areas are robust and thriving. Mr. Cuoco explained that the town does not really have interest in having open space unless the open space is in a homeowner's association, which this particular subdivision does not have. Mr. Cuoco explained that part of the discussion around the vernal pool is that this area might be a habitat for endangered species. In 2017, they reached out to Natural Heritage for an opinion letter. Natural Heritage has provided a letter stating that the area or surrounding area does not have any characteristics of wildlife habitat. Mr. Cuoco noted that they were before the Planning Board and were approved to remove the notation that it is not a buildable lot.

Mr. Fontaine asked if this land was in the Natural Heritage database and Mr. Cuoco confirmed this. Mr. Fontaine explained that it is his understanding that vernal pools that are mapped as estimated or priority are two separate things. As a result, Mr. Fontaine questions whether the correct question was asked of Natural Heritage. Mr. Fontaine referenced the aerial imagery and noted that it will show a yellow hash mark of where estimated rare habitat are, but then the vernal pools are separate. Mr. Cuoco explained that the previous Conservation Agent raised this issue and they brought it up to Natural Heritage and their answer was no. Mr. Fontaine explained that he would be curious to see what the actual inquiry was as he feels they might have been referring to something else. Mr. Hanley explained that they are stating that the area around the vernal pool does not have the habitat. Mr. Fontaine explained that once a vernal pool is certified, the vernal pool habitat extends 100 feet from the delineation of the actual depression.

Mr. Holland suggested having town counsel review the original Order of Conditions to ensure everything is done properly.

Mr. Ronan opened the hearing to the public.

Donna Pelczar of 387 Trull Road came forward and noted that she has been through this development since the beginning and has lived on Trull Road for over 30 years. Ms. Pelczar explained that this piece of land was an offer from the original developer to give something back, to have something to remain of the property, and was done in good faith. Ms. Pelczar noted that just because the town does not want the land does not mean the residents do not want it.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to close the public hearing; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to continue Notice of Intent, Civil Design Consultants on behalf of Arnie Martel, 70 Frasier Lane, Assessor Map 25, Lot 86, DEP #pending to May 11, 2022 at 6:30 p.m.; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

I) Notice of Intent, Civil Design Consultants on behalf of Arnie Martel, Frasier Lane Paving Project, DEP #pending

Present was Jim Hanley of Civil Design Consultants and Dick Cuoco of Woodland Design.

Mr. Hanley explained that as Mr. Cuoco previously mentioned, the roadway construction was approved through an Order of Conditions in 2001, was extended a couple of times, and has since expired. Mr. Hanley explained that they have a punch list that they are trying to address with the town, which essentially includes cutting out some pavement patches, repaving, some minor loom and seed along the apron of the roadway, new curbing along much of the roadway, etc.

Mr. Fontaine explained that as far as the paving and roadway work, erosion and sediment controls should be installed with silt sacks in the catch basins during construction. Mr. Fontaine questioned the permitting strategy for the project as some of the work involves maintenance in the basin and explained that just because it was designed and maintained with the storm water standards, it does not necessarily mean that they are not jurisdictional. Mr. Hanley explained that the original engineering company designed and got this approved and then did an as-built of the ponds 7-8 years later, and they substantially comply with what was designed. Mr. Hanley noted that he can submit these drawings as part of the record.

Mr. Ronan opened the hearing to the public.

Donna Pelczar of Trull Road came forward and asked if there will be blasting as part of this project and Mr. Cuoco noted that there will not be. Ms. Pelczar asked why the holes

formed in the road and Mr. Hanley noted likely due to the age and all the cutting into the roadway for the homes that were constructed.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to close the public hearing; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to continue Notice of Intent, Civil Design Consultants on behalf of Arnie Martel, Frasier Lane Paving Project, DEP #pending to April 6, 2022 at 6:30 p.m.; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

J) Boy Scout Project discussion Setting up the plans.

Present was Rob Adams and Kyle Adams of 50 Wellington Circle.

Kyle Adams explained that he has been working with Bruce Schick on a project for his Eagle project, which is required for him to obtain his Eagles Badge the highest rank in the Boy Scouts. Mr. Adams explained that he has chosen to build 5 benches to be placed around open space in town. Last weekend some of the troops went to one of the Scout leaders houses and constructed the benches and needs the Commission's permission to place the benches.

Rob Adams explained that they have worked with the Open Space Committee to identify the five locations for the benches and approximate locations have been provided to Mr. Fontaine. Mr. Adams noted that they are not planning tree removal of any kind and will not be disturbing any of the earth and are flexible on the locations of the benches. Mr. Adams noted that the benches will be chained down.

Mr. Fontaine noted that the benches look nice and do look close to the wetlands but does not feel that they would have an adverse effect on the wetlands.

Mr. Holland commended Kyle Adams on his work and wished him well on obtaining his Eagle's Badge.

Mr. Ronan noted that he was recently taking pictures at the Pine Cemetery and there are a few benches there and it a nice thing to be able to go and sit down while out exploring nature.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to approve the proposed bench locations for the Open Space Committee and Kyle Adams; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

K) Open Space Discussion

Present was Jennifer Balch-Kenney, Chair of Open Space Committee.

Ms. Balch-Kenney explained that she is present to update the Commission on the medallion project the Committee has been working on. Ms. Kenney presented two design examples of the medallions to the Commission.

Mr. Fontaine asked if the medallions are being used on trails or for any conservation land and Ms. Kenney explained any conservation land in town. Mr. Fontaine explained that the Commission has also been working on obtaining medallions to mark wetland areas.

Ms. Kenney Noted that this was one of the Open Space Committee's goals.

It was the consensus to use the white medallions with green trees.

L) Minor Modification, James Andella, 155 East Street, Lot 2A, DEP #305-1068

Mr. Fontaine explained the developer believes that he may encroach into the 50 foot no build and has cited the 10% encroachment portion of the bylaw. Discussion took place this portion of the bylaw and Mr. Holland explained that you are allowed 10% of the total square footage. It was the consensus of the Commission members that the modification appears to be under the 10% allowed.

Raytheon

Mr. Fontaine explained that he has been contacted by Raytheon requesting an emergency certification for a failed culver that is causing some road collapse. Mr. Fontaine noted that they were able to isolate this portion of the road as the roadway is all within their own complex. There is no immediate threat to human health and safety, however, from a transportation perspective, the engineer is concerned if there were a larger rain event that the scour could undermine it and it would head towards Route 495.

Discussion took place on whether this would be considered an emergency and given the roadway has been closed and is not in use, the Commission did not feel it was an emergency situation.

Old Business

There were no items discussed.

New Business

There were no items discussed.

Administrators Report

280 Rogers Street

Mr. Fontaine noted that 280 Rogers Street is the property the Commission previously discussed where Mass Mosquito was proposing some work in two parts. They have stated that the second part is not necessary and is voluntary, but they have done work in this location previously so up to the commission. It was the consensus of the Commission that if it improves the property and mosquitoes is fine.

31 Wales Drive

Mr. Fontaine explained that he wanted to bring to the Commission's attention that unpermitted tree removal occurred at 31 Wales Drive. Similar to the work that was done at 27 Ferncroft, the stumps were left in place and the crane was no interfering with the wetlands. Mr. Fontaine noted that the homeowners have stated that the trees were cracked and falling toward the home.

Bylaw Discussion

Mr. Fontaine discussed the bylaw regarding decks, sheds, pools and noted that it states decks, sheds, and pools are exempt as long as they are 50 feet away, erosion and sediment controls are used, and that the house existed prior to August 7th, 1996. Mr. Fontaine noted he is not sure where the August 7, 1996 date came from. Mr. Holland explained that it likely came from a bylaw change at that time.

Discussion took place on the differences between in-ground and above-ground pools, and it was the consensus that this also includes any above-ground pool within the buffer zone.

Adjournment.

MOTION: Mr. Holland made the motion to adjourn; seconded by Mr. Sheehan and the motion unanimously carried 4-0.

Approved: October 10, 2022

*List of Documents for the 03.23.2022 Meeting
Documents can be found in the Community Development Office*

06:30 P.M. Call Meeting to Order
Approval of Minutes; November 17, 2021; December 15, 2021; January 5, 2022; February 2, 2022; February 19, 2022; March 2, 2022.

- A. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Request from Determination of Applicability;** Michael Quigley; 19 & 27 Ferncroft Road, Assessor Map-Lot 31-48 & 31-47
- WPA Form 1
 - Site Plans
 - Field Notes
 - Photo Log
- B. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Request from Determination of Applicability;** W Freitag
Construction on behalf of Mark & Katy Airoidi; 96 Sheridan Lane, Assessor Map 38 Lot 36
- WPA Form 1
 - Site Plans
 - Field Notes
 - Photo Log
- C. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Request from Determination of Applicability;** Patrick Richard; 18 Doherty Way, Assessor Map 9 Lot 82
- WPA Form 1
 - Site Plans
 - Field Notes
 - Photo Log
- D. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Request from Determination of Applicability;** Julie Taggart; 7 Heath Street, Assessor Map 68 Lot 25
- WPA Form 1
 - Site Plans
 - Field Notes
 - Photo Log
- E. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Notice of Intent;** Matt Hamor on behalf of Highlandview Realty Trust; 60 Highland View Road, Assessor Map 23 Lots 23-53. DEP File # 305-1155. Continued to 04/20/2022 Conservation Commission Meeting.
- F. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Notice of Intent;** Civil Design Consultants, Inc; Vale Street, Assessor Map 112 Lots 23, 87-94. DEP File # 305-1154.
- Revised WPA Form 3 – 3/10/22
 - Wetland Permitting Plan & Construction Details – 3/2/22
 - Comment Email – March 2022
 - DEP Letter – 3/7/22
 - Application Package:
 - WPA Form 3
 - Project Narrative

- Site Plans
- Field Data Forms
- Abutter Notification Information
- Project Review & Comment Email – February 2022
- Revised Site Plan
- Photo Log

G. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation;** Norse Environmental Services Inc. on behalf of O’ Brien Homes Inc; Foster Lane Assessors Map 24 Lot 26. DEP File # 305-1156. Continued to 04/06/2022 Conservation Commission Meeting.

H. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Notice of Intent;** Civil Design Consultants of behalf of Arnie Martel; 70 Frasier Lane, Assessor Map 25 Lot 86. DEP File # Not Yet Assigned.

- Application Package:
 - WPA Form 3
 - Abutter Notification Information
 - Project Narrative
 - Site Plans
 - Field Data Forms
- Review Comments & Responses
- Previous Application – January 2018

I. **[PUBLIC HEARING] Notice of Intent;** Civil Design Consultants of behalf of Arnie Martel; Frasier Lane (Paving Project). DEP File # Not Yet Assigned.

- Application Package:
 - WPA Form 3
 - Abutter Notification Information
 - Project Narrative
 - Site Plans
 - Field Data Forms
- Review Comments & Responses

J. **Boy Scout Project Discussion**

K. **Open Space Discussion**

L. **Minor Modification;** Jim Andella, 155 East Street Lot 2A, DEP File # 305-1068.

**Old Business
New Business
Administrators Report
Adjournment**